

Two rare cases of congenital aortic stenosis showing a discrepancy between preoperative imaging diagnosis, intraoperative findings, and histopathological diagnosis

Shiro Miura (MD MSc), Katsumi Inoue (MD PhD), Satoshi Yamada (MD PhD FJCC), Takehiro Yamashita (MD PhD), Kenji Ando (MD)

論文紹介

- 当院では心臓弁膜症に対する外科的治療においても道内有数の症例数を誇ります。
- 外科的弁置換術を行う症例では、弁劣化や弁不全の原因を術前の画像診断や術後の病理診断にて確認を行い、術後の管理や臨床的追跡に役立てています。
- 本論文は、臨床的に異なる経過を多く辿ることがある先天性二尖弁と一尖弁の大動脈弁狭窄症の患者様の術前診断が非常に難しく、術後の病理診断にて最終確定し、それを今後の治療方針に反映したという2症例報告です。当院循環器内科 三浦史郎医師、山下武廣医師、北海道大学病院循環器内科 山田聡医師らとの共著で出版されました。

論文

ARTICLE IN PRESS
Journal of Cardiology Cases xxx (2018) xxx–xxx

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect
Journal of Cardiology Cases
journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jccase

Case Report

Two rare cases of congenital aortic stenosis showing a discrepancy between preoperative imaging diagnosis, intraoperative findings, and histopathological diagnosis

Shiro Miura (MD MSc)^{a,*}, Katsumi Inoue (MD PhD)^b, Satoshi Yamada (MD PhD FJCC)^c, Takehiro Yamashita (MD PhD)^d, Kenji Ando (MD)^e

^aDepartment of Cardiology, Hokkaido Oshima Memorial Hospital, Sapporo, Japan
^bLaboratory Medicine, Hokkaido Memorial Hospital, Sapporo, Japan
^cDepartment of Cardiovascular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan
^dDepartment of Cardiology, Hokkaido Memorial Hospital, Muroran, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Introduction

Unicuspid aortic valve (UAV) is a rare congenital heart anomaly with an estimated frequency of 0.02% among the adult population [1] and often present as a form of significant aortic stenosis (AS) or aortic regurgitation (AR) in symptomatic, relatively young subjects who sometimes require cardiac surgery. Bicuspid aortic valve (BAV), on the other hand, is one of the most common cardiac malformations with an estimated incidence of 0.9–2% [2], which shows similar clinical manifestations to UAVs, as related to valve dysfunction, and is often accompanied with abnormalities of the aorta (aneurysm, dilatation, and dissection) and other cardiac malformations [3].

Despite some features shared between both valve disorders, there can be a clinical importance in distinguishing UAV from BAV for the management of patients with these heart anomalies. Serial assessments using transthoracic echocardiography (TTE) have disclosed that valve dysfunctions progress more rapidly in patients with UAV than those with BAV [4] and that UAV patients are more likely to develop symptoms at an early age [1]. Regarding the anatomical aspects, Nuly et al. [5] demonstrated that there are several distinct characteristics between them. The rate of aortic dilatation was significantly lower in UAV than in BAV cases, leading to a relatively low incidence of acute aortic events in UAV. In contrast, the aortic annulus was dilated (>25 mm) in most patients with UAV requiring surgery in 71%. Consequently, preoperative discrimination of the two is of significant importance in determining an appropriate surgical approach, whether it be

Keywords:
Unicuspid aortic valve
Bicuspid aortic valve
Intraoperative echocardiography
Multislice computed tomography

Article history:
Received 30 October 2017
Received in revised form 10 February 2018
Accepted 7 March 2018

Keywords:
Unicuspid aortic valve
Bicuspid aortic valve
Intraoperative echocardiography
Multislice computed tomography

* Corresponding author at: Department of Cardiology, Hokkaido Oshima Memorial Hospital, 2-1-16-1, Miyazawa, Nizuka, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0002, Japan.
E-mail address: s.miura@oshima-hp.jp (S. Miura).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jccase.2018.03.003
1743-5400/© 2018 Japanese College of Cardiology. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
jccase.2018.03.003

Please cite this article in press as: Miura S, et al. Two rare cases of congenital aortic stenosis showing a discrepancy between preoperative imaging diagnosis, intraoperative findings, and histopathological diagnosis. J Cardiol Cases (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jccase.2018.03.003>

ARTICLE IN PRESS
S. Miura et al. / Journal of Cardiology Cases xxx (2018) xxx–xxx

2

aortic valve repair or replacement, with these findings supporting the concept that UAV and BAV are two discrete entities. Besides, there is a growing interest in congenital aortic valve disorders, as indications for transcatheter aortic valve implantation have been expanding to include patients with congenital aortic valve disease, particularly bicuspid aortic stenosis from those with calcified and degenerative AS [6]. Nevertheless, preoperative diagnosis of UAV is rare and sometimes misleading. A systematic review reported that only 23 cases of 231 UAV patients were identified preoperatively using TTE, while an additional 18 cases were diagnosed through transthoracic echocardiography (TTE).

In this report, we describe two cases that focus on the difficulty in discriminating between UAV and BAV, both preoperatively and intraoperatively, with careful pathological examination of surgical specimens helping to reach a final diagnosis.

Case report #1

A 30-year-old woman was referred with worsening exertional dyspnea. On physical examination, grade 4/6 systolic ejection murmur was audible at the right sternal border. A TTE displayed a normal left ventricular (LV) size with preserved systolic function (LV ejection fraction (LVEF), 69%), complicated by a thickened aortic valve with spotty calcification, which was assessed as a severe AS (aortic valve area calculated by Doppler method, 0.57 cm²), along with a trivial AR. This examination, however, did not provide a detailed observation on the leaflet morphology due to inadequate image qualities. A subsequent TEE, however, revealed that the etiology of her valve abnormality could be BAV based on clear three-dimensional live images of the two leaflets in both lateral positions and two commissures between them

Case report #2

A 50-year-old female with a history of hyperthyroidism was referred complaining of a gradual development of dyspnea. A TTE showed a severe AS (peak transaortic velocity, 4.5 m/s) with mild to moderate AR while the systolic function was preserved (LVEF, 65%) with a normal LV size. A TEE was performed because close observation on TTE was difficult due to the extremely heavy calcification of the valve. The TEE findings suggested that the valve had a single united commissure, or unicommissural UAV, attached to the aorta between the right coronary cusp (RCC) and left coronary cusp (LCC) positions in the short-axis view

Figure 1

Three-dimensional live transthoracic echocardiography (short-axis view) during diastole (A) and mid-diastole (B), showing two commissures in the anterior (yellow arrow) and posterior positions (red lines) in the absence of raphe, and the right-left focused leaflets (dotted purple lines) on red as eccentric surface opening. (C), Three-dimensional cardiac CT scan described two leaflets of almost same size were fused with two commissures, mimicking BAV with a trivial calcification (yellow arrow). Surgical specimen of the removed aortic valve from aortic side (D and E) (red line). The shape of leaflet was eccentric (one and a half) and narrow with no visible commissures confined on each edge. The sharply curved area (red arrow) had a similar width to that of other sections of the leaflet, which on LV side was accompanied by the formation of a nodular calcification. (F), Microscopic findings of the stained section on hematoxylin and eosin stain. Elastic fibers in the commissure-like area displayed continuous sequence in “36 circumferential direction” (black arrowheads). RCA, right coronary artery; LCA, left coronary artery; CT, computed tomography; BAV, bicuspid aortic valve; LV, left ventricle.

Figure 2

Transthoracic echocardiography during mid-systole in the short-axis view (A) exhibiting a commissure attached to aorta (yellow arrow) and an oval-shaped aortic valve opening with heavy calcification. Surgical specimen of the removed aortic valve from aortic side (B) and from LV side (C). Two leaflets consisting of the fused RCC and LCC showed a commissure between them with the zone between RCC and LCC (red arrow) displayed a light fusion complicated by nodular calcification. There was a rim only in the fused area between RCC and LCC (black arrow) but no apparent raphe structure. Histopathological cross-section of the zone between RCC and LCC and the fused area between RCC and LCC were indicated by a yellow colored square (D) and (E) in (A), respectively. Elastic fibers around the zone were staining to the short axis, near to the circumferential (black arrowheads in (F)), suggesting that the zone contained commissural structure while the elastic fibers in the fused RCC/LCC area showed the circumferential layout (black arrowheads, in (F)). LV, left ventricle; RCC, non-coronary cusp; LCC, left coronary cusp; RCC, right coronary cusp.

Please cite this article in press as: Miura S, et al. Two rare cases of congenital aortic stenosis showing a discrepancy between preoperative imaging diagnosis, intraoperative findings, and histopathological diagnosis. J Cardiol Cases (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jccase.2018.03.003>

ARTICLE IN PRESS
S. Miura et al. / Journal of Cardiology Cases xxx (2018) xxx–xxx

3

without any raphe structure with an eccentric orifice (Fig. 1A and B). A cardiac computed tomography (CT) revealed normal coronary arteries without any dilatation of the ascending aorta (Fig. 1C) with two cusps of almost the same size lined up with two commissures between them, which were indicative of a mildly calcified BAV. At operation, the valve was replaced with a 19-mm prosthetic valve and was reported as a BAV based on the removed valve specimen (Fig. 1D and E). A macroscopic pathological examination, however, revealed that it was an unicommissural UAV. The surgical specimen exhibited an eccentric orifice with no obvious commissure confined at each edge. The area that was thought to be a commissure on the TEE examination was almost the same width as the other areas of the leaflets with the elastic fibers in the commissure-like area displayed continuously in “its circumferential direction” (Fig. 1F). A similar observation was obtained on the other edge, which supported the claim that the congenital valve consists of one piece of leaflet, not two leaflets with commissural structures.

Discussion

Two-dimensional TTE and TEE can play a central role in assessing congenital aortic valve disease. Two forms of UAV (acommissural and unicommissural) have been described on the basis of the absence (or presence) of a lateral attachment of the commissures to the aorta at the level of the orifice [7]. Typically, BAV shows only two leaflets and two complete commissures. A previous study showed that out of 932 stenotic aortic valves surgically excised in severe AS patients, 54% of the total had congenitally malformed valves with unicommissural UAV in 53, acommissural UAV in 64% and BAV in 49% [8]. However, valve structure in all cases was determined by the macroscopic observation of the excised valve and not based on pathohistological findings which might, in the real world, lead to an underestimation of the incidence of congenital aortic valve disease. Additionally,

there is a noteworthy discrepancy regarding the interpretation of valve structures between cardiac surgeons and cardiac pathologists when, as reported by Roberts et al. [9], patients with hemodynamically significant aortic valve disease underwent isolated aortic valve replacement. We believe that the most reliable preoperative diagnosis for UAV and BAV should be based on the number of commissures present and that distinguishing between a commissure and a raphe using imaging modalities depends on its attachment level in relation to the coronary ostia as proposed by Anderson [10]. These characteristics might be examined more closely using TEE or cardiac CT than TTE. Nevertheless, we suggest that those distinctive findings are increasingly difficult to examine even using imaging modalities for more advanced congenital aortic valve disease, such as the two cases presented, mainly due to heavy valve calcification or the acquired fusion of leaflets. In the first case, the two separate leaflets were clearly seen with two commissures on a three-dimensional TEE image which led to a tentative diagnosis of BAV. The valve orifice was relatively linear in appearance, which is one of the common findings in BAV [8]. BAV was more suspect as the cause of her stenotic valve because of the intraoperative findings revealing an eccentrically long and narrow orifice configuration as opposed to a pinhole one, which is typical to an unicommissural UAV [10] and led to the misdiagnosis. Contrary to our expectations, the histopathological examination concluded that the aortic valve was an unicommissural UAV. In the second case presented, we suspected the aortic valve to be a unicommissural UAV based on the preoperative TTE findings which clearly demonstrated an oval orifice relatively typical to a unicommissural UAV, with an attachment to the aorta in the commissure between the RCC and LCC. In addition, even intraoperative findings supported the preoperative diagnosis suggesting a unicommissural UAV was more likely than an unicommissural type because of the valve having a single commissural structure. The intraoperative diagnosis, however, did not match the conclusions from the pathological

Please cite this article in press as: Miura S, et al. Two rare cases of congenital aortic stenosis showing a discrepancy between preoperative imaging diagnosis, intraoperative findings, and histopathological diagnosis. J Cardiol Cases (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jccase.2018.03.003>

医師紹介



三浦 史郎 医師
(みうら しろう)

【現職】
社会医療法人孝仁会
北海道大野記念病院 医師

【経歴】
九州大学医学部医学科
社会保険小倉記念病院循環器科勤務
英国サウザンプトン大学
(修士号:医療統計学)

【専門医等】
日本内科学会総合内科専門医
日本循環器学会専門医
Japanese Board of Perioperative
Transesophageal Echocardiography (JB-POT) 認定医
日本心血管インターベンション治療学会CVIT 認定医
浅大腿動脈ステントグラフト実施医
植込み型除細動器 (ICD)
ペースティングによる心不全治療 (CRT) 研修修了